arXiv:1403.1163v1 [math.FA] 5 Mar 2014

A PROOF OF ROSENTHAL'S ℓ_1-THEOREM

I. GASPARIS

Abstract. A bounded sequence (x_n) in a complex Banach space is called ϵ-weak Cauchy, for some $\epsilon > 0$, if for all $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ there exists some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x^*(x_n) - x^*(x_m)| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and $m \geq n_0$. It is shown that given $\epsilon > 0$ and a bounded sequence (x_n) in a Banach space then either (x_n) admits an ϵ-weak Cauchy subsequence or, for all $\delta > 0$, there exists a subsequence (x_{m_n}) satisfying

$$\left\|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n (x_{m_n} - x_{m_1})\right\| \geq (\frac{\epsilon\sqrt{2}}{8} - \delta) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_n)| + |\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_n)|)$$

for every finitely supported sequence of complex scalars $(\lambda_n)_{n=2}^{\infty}$. This provides an alternative proof for both Rosenthal's ℓ_1-theorem and its quantitative version due to Behrends.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this article is to provide an alternative proof of Rosenthal's ℓ_1-theorem [11]

Theorem 1.1. *Every bounded sequence in a (real or complex) Banach space admits a subsequence which is either weak Cauchy, or equivalent to the usual ℓ_1-basis.*

Rosenthal proved his result for real Banach spaces and subsequently Dor [3] settled the complex case. Most proofs of the ℓ_1-theorem ([5], [10], [2], [7]) rely on the infinite Ramsey theorem [4] which states that every analytic subset of $[\mathbb{N}]$ is Ramsey (see also [6]). A proof of the ℓ_1-theorem that avoids the use of Ramsey theory is given in [1]. We recall here that for an infinite subset L of $\mathbb{N}$, $[L]$ stands for the set of all of its infinite subsets. $[\mathbb{N}]$ is endowed with the topology of point-wise convergence. A subset $\mathcal{A}$ of $[\mathbb{N}]$ is a Ramsey set if for every $N \in [\mathbb{N}]$ there exists $M \in [N]$ such that either $[M] \subset \mathcal{A}$, or $[M] \cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset$.

To explain our proof of the ℓ_1-theorem, we first fix a compact metric space K and a bounded sequence (f_n) of complex-valued functions, continuous on K. Given $\epsilon > 0$, let us call (f_n) *ϵ-weak Cauchy* provided that for every $t \in K$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f_n(t) - f_m(t)| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and $m \geq n_0$. We note that the concept of an ϵ-weak Cauchy sequence is implicit in [2]. Our main result is as follows

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46B03; Secondary: 0E302.

Key words and phrases. The ℓ_1-theorem, weak Cauchy sequence, Ramsey theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (f_n) be a bounded sequence in a complex $C(K)$ space and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then either (f_n) admits an ϵ-weak Cauchy subsequence or, for every $\delta > 0$, there is a subsequence (f_{m_n}) satisfying*

$$\Big\|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n (f_{m_n} - f_{m_1})\Big\| \geq \big(\frac{\epsilon\sqrt{2}}{8} - \delta\big) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_n)| + |\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_n)|)$$

for every finitely supported sequence of complex scalars $(\lambda_n)_{n=2}^{\infty}$.

If the second alternative of Theorem 1.2 holds, then $(f_{m_n} - f_{m_1})_{n=2}^{\infty}$ C-dominates the usual ℓ_1-basis, where $C = \frac{\epsilon\sqrt{2}}{8} - \delta$. It follows now, by a result of H. Knaust and E. Odell (Proposition 4.2 of [8] which holds for complex Banach spaces as well), that there is some $k \geq 2$ so that $(f_{m_n})_{n=k}^{\infty}$ C-dominates the usual ℓ_1-basis. Therefore, if (f_n) admits no ℓ_1-subsequence, then every subsequence of (f_n) admits, for all $\epsilon > 0$, an ϵ-weak Cauchy subsequence and thus a weak Cauchy subsequence. On the other hand, if (f_n) lacks ϵ-weak Cauchy subsequences for some fixed $\epsilon > 0$, then Theorem 1.2 combined with the above cited result from [8], yields the quantitative version of the ℓ_1-theorem obtained by E. Behrends in [2].

We use standard Banach space facts and terminology as may be found in [9].

Notation: Let E be a closed subset of $\mathbb{C}^2$ and $P \in [\mathbb{N}]$. Define

$$\mathcal{D}(P, E) = \{L \in [P],\, L = (l_n) : \exists\, t \in K,\, (f_{l_{2n-1}}(t), f_{l_{2n}}(t)) \in E,\, \forall\, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Notation: For $\epsilon > 0$ we set $F_\epsilon = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1 - z_2| \geq \epsilon\}$.

Lemma 1.3. *$\mathcal{D}(P, E)$ is point-wise closed in $[\mathbb{N}]$.*

Proof. Let (L_m) be a sequence in $\mathcal{D}(P, F)$ converging point-wise to some $L \in [P]$, $L = (l_n)$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and choose $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{l_k : k \leq 2n\}$ is an initial segment of L_{m_n}. Next choose $t_n \in K$ so that $(f_{l_{2j-1}}(t_n), f_{l_{2j}}(t_n)) \in E$ for all $j \leq n$. Let $t \in K$ be a cluster point of the sequence (t_n). Since the f_j's are continuous and E is closed, we obtain that $(f_{l_{2n-1}}(t), f_{l_{2n}}(t)) \in E$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and so $L \in \mathcal{D}(P, E)$ completing the proof of the lemma. □

Remark 1. Assume that $\mathcal{D}(P, F_\epsilon)$ is a proper subset of $[P]$ for all $P \in [\mathbb{N}]$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\mathcal{D}(P, F_\epsilon)$ is Ramsey, an easy diagonalization argument shows that (f_n) admits a weak Cauchy subsequence.

Lemma 1.4. *Assume that $[\mathbb{N}] = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{N}, F_\epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\delta_1 > 0$ and choose an integer multiple A of δ_1, $A > \delta_1$, so that $\|f_n\| \leq A$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist $M \in [\mathbb{N}]$ and two squares Δ_1 and Δ_2 contained in $[-A, A]^2$ with sides parallel to the axes and equal to δ_1 so that*

1. *$(\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2) \cap F_\epsilon \neq \emptyset$.*
2. *For every choice J_1 and J_2 of pairwise disjoint subsets of M there exists $t \in K$ so that $f_n(t) \in \Delta_s$ for all $n \in J_s$ and $s \leq 2$.*

Proof. Let Π be a finite partition of $[-A, A]^2$ into pairwise non-overlapping squares having sides parallel to the axes and equal to δ_1. Define

$$\mathcal{D} = \cup_{(\Delta_1,\Delta_2)\in\Pi^2} \mathcal{D}[\mathbb{N}, (\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2) \cap F_\epsilon]$$

This is a point-wise closed subset of $[\mathbb{N}]$, thanks to Lemma 1.3, and therefore it is Ramsey. Let $L \in [\mathbb{N}]$, $L = (l_n)$. Since $L \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{N}, F_\epsilon)$ there exist $t \in K$, $I \in [\mathbb{N}]$ and $(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \in \Pi^2$ so that $(f_{l_{2j-1}}(t), f_{l_{2j}}(t)) \in (\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2) \cap F_\epsilon$ for all $j \in I$. It follows that $L_1 \in [L] \cap \mathcal{D}$, where $L_1 = \cup_{j\in I}\{l_{2j-1}, l_{2j}\}$. Hence, $[L] \cap \mathcal{D} \neq \emptyset$ for all $L \in [\mathbb{N}]$. The infinite Ramsey Theorem now yields $N \in [\mathbb{N}]$ so that $[N] \subset \mathcal{D}$. A second application of the infinite Ramsey Theorem provides us some $P \in [N]$ and $(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \in \Pi^2$ so that $[P] \subset \mathcal{D}[\mathbb{N}, (\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2) \cap F_\epsilon]$. Finally, if $P = (p_n)$, let $M = \{p_{3n-1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Clearly, M, Δ_1 and Δ_2 satisfy (1) and (2). □

Lemma 1.5. *Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and $0 < \delta_1 < \delta/5$. Assume that* (1) *and* (2) *of Lemma 1.4 are fulfilled with $M = \mathbb{N}$, $\Delta_1 = [0, \delta_1]^2$ and Δ_2 contained in the first quadrant. Then*

$$\left\|\sum_{n\geq 2} \lambda_n(f_n - f_1)\right\| \geq (\frac{\epsilon\sqrt{2}}{8} - \delta) \sum_{n\geq 2}(|\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_n)| + |\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_n)|)$$

for every finitely supported sequence of complex scalars $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 2}$.

Proof. Since $(\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2) \cap F_\epsilon \neq \emptyset$ there exists $z_2 \in \Delta_2$ so that $|z_2| \geq \epsilon - \delta_1\sqrt{2}$. Let $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 2}$ be a finitely supported sequence of complex scalars and set $a_n = \mathrm{Re}(\lambda_n)$, $b_n = \mathrm{Im}(\lambda_n)$, for all $n \geq 2$. We next choose a finite subset J_2 of $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq 2\}$ so that each one of the sequences $(a_n)_{n\in J_2}$ and $(b_n)_{n\in J_2}$ consists of terms with equal signs and

$$\sum_{n\in J_2}(|a_n| + |b_n|) \geq (1/4)\sum_{n\geq 2}(|a_n| + |b_n|)$$

We may suppose that $a_n b_n \geq 0$ for all $n \in J_2$. The case $a_n b_n \leq 0$ for all $n \in J_2$ is handled with similar arguments. Let J_1 be the complement of J_2 in the support of $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 2}$. By our hypothesis, there is some $t \in K$ such that $f_n(t) \in \Delta_2$ for all $n \in J_2$, while $f_n(t) \in \Delta_1$ for all $n \in J_1 \cup \{1\}$. Note that

(1.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{n\geq 2} \lambda_n(f_n - f_1)\right\| &\geq \left|\sum_{n\in J_2} \lambda_n f_n(t)\right| - \sum_{n\in J_1} |\lambda_n||f_n(t)| - \sum_{n\geq 2} |\lambda_n||f_1(t)| \\
&\geq \left|\sum_{n\in J_2} \lambda_n f_n(t)\right| - 2\delta_1\sqrt{2}\sum_{n\geq 2}|\lambda_n| \\
&\geq \left|\sum_{n\in J_2} \lambda_n z_2\right| - \sum_{n\in J_2} |\lambda_n||f_n(t) - z_2| - 2\delta_1\sqrt{2}\sum_{n\geq 2}|\lambda_n| \\
&\geq \left|\sum_{n\in J_2} \lambda_n z_2\right| - 3\delta_1\sqrt{2}\sum_{n\geq 2}|\lambda_n|
\end{aligned}$$

We next write $z_2 = a + ib$ where a and b are non-negative reals and estimate

(1.2)
$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\sum_{n\in J_2}\lambda_n z_2\Big|^2 &= \Big|\sum_{n\in J_2}(a_n a - b_n b)\Big|^2 + \Big|\sum_{n\in J_2}(a_n b + b_n a)\Big|^2\\ &= \Big|a\sum_{n\in J_2}|a_n| - b\sum_{n\in J_2}|b_n|\Big|^2 + \Big|b\sum_{n\in J_2}|a_n| + a\sum_{n\in J_2}|b_n|\Big|^2\\ &\geq \frac{a^2+b^2}{2}\Big(\sum_{n\in J_2}(|a_n|+|b_n|)\Big)^2 \geq (|z_2|^2/32)\Big(\sum_{n\geq 2}(|a_n|+|b_n|)\Big)^2\end{aligned}$$

because $(ax-by)^2+(bx+ay)^2 \geq (a^2+b^2)/2$, whenever x, y are non-negative scalars with $x+y=1$. Since $|z_2| \geq \epsilon - \sqrt{2}\delta_1$, it follows from (1.1), (1.2) that

$$\Big\|\sum_{n\geq 2}\lambda_n(f_n - f_1)\Big\| \geq (\frac{\epsilon - \delta_1\sqrt{2}}{4\sqrt{2}} - 3\delta_1\sqrt{2})\sum_{n\geq 2}(|\mathrm{Re}(\lambda_n)| + |\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_n)|)$$

which yields the assertion of the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that (f_n) has no subsequence which is ϵ-weak Cauchy. It follows that $[P] \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{N}, F_\epsilon) = \emptyset$ for no $P \in [\mathbb{N}]$. Since $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{N}, F_\epsilon)$ is point-wise closed, by Lemma 1.3, the infinite Ramsey theorem implies that $\mathcal{D}(N, F_\epsilon) = [N]$ for some $N \in [\mathbb{N}]$. Without loss of generality, by relabeling if necessary, we may assume that $N = \mathbb{N}$. Let $\delta > 0$ and choose $0 < \delta_1 < \delta/5$. Applying Lemma 1.4, passing to a subsequence and relabeling if necessary, we may also assume that there exist two squares Δ_1 and Δ_2 with sides parallel to the axes and equal to δ_1 so that

(1) $(\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2) \cap F_\epsilon \neq \emptyset$.
(2) For every choice J_1 and J_2 of pairwise disjoint subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ there exists $t \in K$ so that $f_n(t) \in \Delta_s$ for all $n \in J_s$ and $s \leq 2$.

By replacing (f_n) by (g_n), where for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $g_n = \sigma f_n + z$ for suitable choices of $\sigma \in \{1, i\}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we may assume that $\Delta_1 = [0, \delta_1]^2$ and that Δ_2 is contained in the first quadrant. The assertion of the theorem now follows by applying Lemma 1.5. □

A direct modification of the preceding arguments shows that theorem 1.2 holds for real $C(K)$ spaces with the constant $\sqrt{2}/8$ being replaced by $1/2$.

References

[1] S.A. Argyros, G. Godefroy and H.P. Rosenthal, *Descriptive Set Theory and Banach Spaces*, Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces, Vol. 2, W.B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss eds., Elsevier Amsterdam (2003), 1007–1069.

[2] E. Behrends, *New proofs of Rosenthal's ℓ_1-theorem and the Josefson-Nissezweig theorem*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **43** (1995), no. 4, 283–295.

[3] L.E. Dor, *On sequences spanning complex ℓ_1 space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **47** (1975), 515–516.

[4] E. Ellentuck, *A new proof that analytic sets are Ramsey*, J. Symbolic Logic **39** (1974), 163–165.
[5] J. Farahat, *Espaces de Banach contenant ℓ_1 d'apres H.P. Rosenthal*, Espaces L_p, Applications Radonifiantes et Geometrie des Espaces de Banach, Exp. No. 26, Centre de Mathematiques Ecole Polytechnique, Paris (1974).
[6] F. Galvin and K. Prikry, *Borel sets and Ramsey's theorem*, J. Symbolic Logic **38** (1973), 193–198.
[7] W.T. Gowers, *Ramsey Methods in Banach Spaces*, Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces, Vol. 2, W.B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss eds., Elsevier Amsterdam (2003), 1071–1097.
[8] H. Knaust and E. Odell, *On c_0 sequences in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **67** (1989), no. 2, 153–169.
[9] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces I-II*, Springer-Verlag, New-York (1977).
[10] E. Odell, *Applications of Ramsey Theorems to Banach Space Theory*, Notes in Banach spaces, p. 379–404, University of Texas Press, Austin 1980.
[11] H.P. Rosenthal, *A characterization of Banach spaces containing ℓ_1*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **71** (1974), 241–243.

School of Applied Mathematical and Physical Sciences, National Technical University of Athens, Athens 15780, Greece
E-mail address: ioagaspa@math.ntua.gr